

Remembered Places, Forgotten Pasts

The Don Drainage Basin in Prehistory

Tim Cockrell

ARCHAEOPRESS ARCHAEOLOGY

ARCHAEOPRESS PUBLISHING LTD
Summertown Pavilion
18-24 Middle Way
Oxford OX2 7LG

www.archaeopress.com

ISBN 978 1 78491 701 2
ISBN 978 1 78491 702 9 (e-Pdf)

© Archaeopress and T Cockrell 2017

Cover: Barbed and Tanged arrowhead from St Helen's Spring, Barnburgh, South Yorkshire.
The watershed between the Don drainage basin at Stanage Edge, South Yorkshire and the Derwent and Hope
valleys, Derbyshire

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced, in any form or
by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying or otherwise,
without the prior written permission of the copyright owners.

This book is available direct from Archaeopress or from our website www.archaeopress.com

Contents

List of Figures.....	iii
List of Tables	x
Acknowledgements	xi
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
A personal preamble	1
Aims and Objectives	1
Overview	2
Chapter 2 Regional archaeologies, Approaches to Archaeological Regions, and the Present Study	3
Introduction	3
Regional approaches in archaeology.....	5
The character of historical regions.....	6
Landscapes and communities	7
Mapping and understanding regions and the significance of rivers	9
The Don valley in prehistory	14
Chapter 3 A History of Archaeological Research in the Study Area	17
Introduction	17
Antiquarian scholarship	17
Early archaeological research in the region.....	20
'Rescue' archaeology, and early professional field archaeology.....	23
Institution based professional archaeology: 1963-1991	25
Community Archaeology	26
Developer funded archaeology from 1991 to the present day	27
Summary and conclusion	29
Chapter 4 Formation Processes and the Database	31
Introduction	31
Archaeological events	32
Summary of Findings	33
Millstone Grit.....	35
Coal Measures Sandstones	35
Magnesian Limestone	41
Sherwood Sandstone.....	50
The river Idle drainage basin.....	50
The Humberhead Levels and the Isle of Axholme.....	54
Remaining factors.....	58
Conclusion.....	62
Chapter 5 The environment of the study area	65
Introduction	65
The Mesolithic: closed canopy or open and park-like landscape?	65
Tree cover in the study area	67
The Neolithic	71
The Bronze Age	74
Discussion and summary	77
Chapter 6 Methodology.....	83
Introduction	83
Pilot study	83
Summary of data collection methodology for the expanded project	84
Database contents and attributes	84
Data interrogation	85
A summary of the analytical sequence	89

Chapter 7 The Mesolithic.....	91
Introduction	91
Early Mesolithic distributions	92
Later Mesolithic distributions	95
Mesolithic distributions.....	95
Activities in the landscape	98
Early Mesolithic	99
Undifferentiated Mesolithic activities	105
Late Mesolithic activities.....	106
Undifferentiated prehistoric artefacts.....	106
The difference between chert and flint	107
Discussion.....	109
Concluding remarks	114
Chapter 8 The Neolithic	117
Introduction	117
The character of the assemblage and its content.....	118
Chipped Stone	118
Pottery.....	118
Axes, adzes and mace heads	119
Petroglyphs.....	121
Long Barrows.....	123
Henge Monuments	124
From Late Mesolithic to Early Neolithic	132
The significance of long barrows and burials in the Early Neolithic	135
The Middle Neolithic and beginning of the Late Neolithic.....	141
The Late Neolithic, and beginning of the Bronze Age	145
Summary and concluding remarks.....	155
Chapter 9 The Bronze Age	157
Introduction	157
The character of the assemblage and its content.....	157
Chipped stone.....	157
Pottery.....	158
Axe Hammers and Battleaxes	159
Metal artefacts	159
Barrows	161
Other monuments	163
The Early Bronze Age	169
The Middle Bronze Age.....	177
The Late Bronze Age.....	189
Summary	192
Chapter 10 Confluence of Narratives: The Don Valley in Prehistory.....	195
Introductory note	195
Prehistory in the study region?.....	195
The Don drainage basin in Prehistory	195
Conclusions	201
Recommendations	204
References.....	207
Appendix Database notes	219

List of Figures

Figure 2.1: The watershed between Derwent and Hope valleys, Derbyshire, (left) and the Don drainage basin at Stange Edge, South Yorkshire (right). Source: author.	3
Figure 2.2: The mainland of Great Britain, showing the study area in relation to major rivers. © Crown Copyright/database right 2016, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.	4
Figure 2.3: The former course of the River Idle, near Wroot, North Lincolnshire. Source: P. Buckland	5
Figure 2.4: The River Don drainage basin prior to A.D. 1640. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.	6
Figure 2.5: 'The great valley of the Don', facing southwest from Melton Warren, South Yorkshire, along the middle Don Valley between Old Denaby (left) and Mexborough (right). The confluence of the Don with the river Dearne is to the immediate left of the bottom left hand corner. In the middle distance is Rotherham (left) and Sheffield (centre). Behind is just visible the Sheaf valley, with the east facing flanks of the southern Pennines and the watershed of the Don catchment rising behind. Source: author.	8
Figure 2.6: Westwoodside and Haxey, at the southern point of the Isle of Axholme, North Lincolnshire, facing north from Gringley-on-the-Hill, North Nottinghamshire. The water tower at the right of the photo marks the watershed between the Trent drainage basin (right, off photo) and that of the Idle (Don, left). Significant quantities of data, particularly from the Bronze Age, has been recovered from the locale of Westwoodside and Haxey within the Don drainage basin, but not from the watershed itself, which arguably served as a threshold or boundary between places. Source: author.	9
Figure 2.7: Map of Britain from 1540, based on Ptolemy (after Whitaker 1933)	11
Figure 2.8: Wincobank Hill, Sheffield, facing northeast from the bank of the River Don. Wincobank hill is the site an Iron Age hill fort, and was also the scene of activity during the Mesolithic period. The hill is situated close to the confluence of the Don with the River Rother. Source: author.	12
Figure 2.9: A standing stone (top) overlooking the confluence of Ewden Beck and Oaken Clough, South Yorkshire. Source: author	13
Figure 2.10: The unremarkable looking low prominence of Mill Hill, Crowle, in the background is surrounded by the levels. Crowle is thus much more prominent than it at first appears, and can be seen from a long distance across the flat expanse. For most of the study period in question, that expanse consisted of extensive reed beds, marshes and areas of standing water, and later the raised mires (see chapter five). At certain times of the year the water levels would have been high enough for it to have had the appearance of an island, like the 'Isle' of Axholme to its immediate south. Such a place would have been distinctive and easily remembered by knowledgeable inhabitants. Data stretching from the Mesolithic to the Roman period has been recovered from Mill Hill and the vicinity. Source: author.	13
Figure 2.11: Deepcar, South Yorkshire, facing south southeast along the upper Don valley from Hunshelf Bank. The well known Early Mesolithic site is located to the immediate left of the photo, overlooking the Don on a precipitous ledge. The confluence of the Don with the Little Don is to the left of centre, a little downstream from the Early Mesolithic site. Source: author.	14
Figure 3.1: Distribution of earlier prehistoric finds at Scratta Wood, North Nottinghamshire, in relation to the Iron Age settlement. Figures refer to height OD in metres. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.	23
Figure 3.2: Facing southeast across the undulating fields of Scratta wood. Most finds were recovered from the ridges of the field. Source: author.	24
Figure 3.3: The Isle of Axholme (right) with prehistoric data recovered by the Isle of Axholme Field Survey team and other prehistoric findspots in the area. Crown Copyright ©Ordnance Survey 2012. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.	28
Figure 4.1: Distribution of data in relation to bedrock geological formations. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.	31
Figure 4.2: Distribution of data in relation to superficial geological formations. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.	32
Figure 4.3: Distribution of data in relation to Millstone Grit. Moorland overlies much of the Millstone Grit. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service. SYAS ©all rights reserved.	34
Figure 4.4: Upper Ewden valley, facing east. Source: author.	35
Figure 4.5: Distribution of archaeological events across the Millstone Grit formation. Stray finds are indicated by 's'. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service. SYAS ©all rights reserved.	36

Figure 4.6: The Upper Don valley from Birley Edge, facing south. Source: author.....	36
Figure 4.7: The Don valley where it meets the Rother valley, to the immediate west of Canklow. Source: author.....	37
Figure 4.8: Dearne valley (background), Mexborough (centre), Don valley (foreground) facing north-east from Old Denaby. The confluence of the Don and Dearne are to the immediate right of the photo. Source: author.....	37
Figure 4.9: Distribution of data in relation to the Coal Measures Sandstones geological formations. © Crown Copyright/ database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v2.0.	38
Figure 4.10: Distribution of archaeological events across the southerly Coal Measures Sandstone geological formations. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.....	39
Figure 4.11: Distribution of archaeological events across the northerly Coal Measures Sandstone geological formations in the Dearne Valley. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.....	40
Figure 4.12: The distribution of data in the Sheffield area. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/ EDINA supplied service. SYAS ©all rights reserved.	41
Figure 4.13: Distribution of data in relation to the Magnesian Limestone plateaux. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.	42
Figure 4.14: The undulating landscape of the Magnesian Limestone at Hickleton, South Yorkshire. Source: author.....	43
Figure 4.15: The view northeast from Old Denaby, South Yorkshire, across the Don Valley and lower Dearne Valley towards the west facing escarpment of the Magnesian Limestone at High Melton. Source: author.....	43
Figure 4.16: The view north east from Scratta Wood, North Nottinghamshire, across the middle Idle valley. Source: author ...	44
Figure 4.17: The view east from Bilham in South Yorkshire across the Humberhead levels. Source: author.....	44
Figure 4.18: The imposing east facing Palladian style facade of Hickleton Hall. Source: author.....	45
Figure 4.19: The fields and woods of Marr Moor, Marr Thick, and Melton c.1902. On the west edge of the map lies Hickleton Hall, Brodsworth lies on the north edge. Only three kilometres to the east is Cusworth Hall. © Crown Copyright/ database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.	46
Figure 4.20: Professional and developer funded work on the Magnesian Limestone. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.....	48
Figure 4.21: Amateur and developer funded work on the Magnesian Limestone and near vicinity. © Crown Copyright/ database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.	49
Figure 4.22: Dinnington and its environs, with gaps in data coverage probably indicative of lack of archaeological research. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.....	50
Figure 4.23: Cropmarks indicative of round barrows, in relation to AP data (SLAP, EHAP - see appendix) and other known and suspected round barrows. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v1.0.....	51
Figure 4.24: The distribution of data in relation to the Idle valley and Humberhead Levels. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.	52
Figure 4.25: The view southwest across the middle Idle valley, from Gringley-on-the-Hill, North Nottinghamshire. Source: author.....	53
Figure 4.26: The view northwest across the Humberhead Levels from Beacon Hill long mound, Gringley-on-the-Hill, North Nottinghamshire. The dark line to the left background on the horizon marks the edge of the Magnesian Limestone, while the darker patch on the right of the photo marks the location of Haxey and Westwoodside on the Isle of Axholme. Source: author.....	53
Figure 4.27: Urban areas, parks and woodlands in the upper Idle Valley. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.	54
Figure 4.28: The middle reaches of the River Idle drainage basin in north Nottinghamshire. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.	55
Figure 4.29: Distribution of archaeological events across the river Idle catchment. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.	56
Figure 4.30: The view north from Mill Hill, Crowle, across the lower reaches of the former River Don. Source: author.....	57
Figure 4.31: Humberhead levels geological formations . © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/ EDINA supplied service.	57
Figure 4.32: The distribution of earlier prehistoric artefacts and sites in the vicinity of Doncaster. Figures represent height in metres OD. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.	58

Figure 4.33: Point density plots (roundels. Darker is higher density) showing higher areas of data density relating to urban areas, built on higher and drier locations. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.	59
Figure 4.34: Alluvial deposits on the Humberhead levels. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v1.0.	60
Figure 4.35: Archeological events in the vicinity of Potteric Carr, South Yorkshire. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.	61
Figure 4.36: Stray finds across the study area. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.	62
Figure 5.1: Distribution of Mesolithic findsspots across the study area. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.	67
Figure 5.2: Tree roots exposed by eroding peat on Broomhead Moor, South Yorkshire. Scale: 0.5m. Source: author.	68
Figure 5.3: Cattle grazing on wet pasture at Old Moor RSPB in the Dearne valley, South Yorkshire. In the background rise well wooded slopes that recall the probable tree cover in earlier prehistory along much of the Magnesian Limestone ridge that flanked the east side of the valley. Source: author.	70
Figure 5.4: The east end of Totley Moss, South Yorkshire, facing east. An upland bog on a 'dished' plateau. Source: author.	72
Figure 5.5: Distribution of Neolithic findsspots and monuments across the study area. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.	73
Figure 5.6: Distribution of Bronze Age Monuments in the uplands. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.	76
Figure 5.7: Bronze Age monuments across the study area. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.	77
Figure 5.8: Finds spots of Bronze Age material culture. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.	78
Figure 5.9: Open water bordered by scrub and trees at Hatfield Moor, South Yorkshire. Source: author.	78
Figure 5.10: A dragonfly on Hatfield Moor. Anecdotally, dragonflies of this size (approximately 15cm wingspan) are not known in other parts of the study area by the author. Source: author.	79
Figure 5.11: The meandering River Idle in North Nottinghamshire. Source: author.	80
Figure 5.12. Shirley Pool, South Yorkshire. Source: author.	81
Figure 5.13. High Melton, South Yorkshire. This photo shows a dry 'forested' environment with open areas between stands of trees that conveys well the likely character of much of the Magnesian Limestone plateaux during the Neolithic and Bronze Ages. Source: author.	82
Figure 6.1: Initial result of analysing the density of undifferentiated Mesolithic flint from its distribution across the study area. The point density tool shows how its density varies across the total area of its distribution. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.	86
Figure 6.2: Edited version of figure 6.1, with visualisations of lowest densities disabled. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.	87
Figure 6.3: Final edited version of the map showing the distribution of undifferentiated Mesolithic flint, with areas of higher density indicated by shaded roundels. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.	88
Figure 7.1: Distribution of unsystematically recorded Mesolithic finds. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.	93
Figure 7.2: Distribution of unsystematically recorded Mesolithic chipped stone scatters. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.	94
Figure 7.3: Systematically recovered Early Mesolithic chipped stone (debitage: pale, overlain with tools: dark). © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.	95
Figure 7.4: The excavated site of Unstone 1, and locations of fieldwalked data. Data shown is debitage (pale) overlain by tools (dark). Figures indicate height in metres OD. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.	96
Figure 7.5: Unstone 1 (left centre), North Derbyshire, facing south-east. Source: author.	96

Figure 7.6: The location of Star Carr, in North Yorkshire, in relation to the present study area, with systematically recovered Early Mesolithic finds shown in pale circles (debitage), overlain by dark (tools). © Crown Copyright/ database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.....	97
Figure 7.7: Distribution of Late Mesolithic chipped stone recovered in systematic fieldwork. Finds shown in pale circles (debitage), overlain by dark (tools). © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.....	98
Figure 7.8: Distribution of systematically recovered undifferentiated Mesolithic data. Dark (tools) over pale (debitage), and unsystematically recorded undifferentiated Mesolithic concentrations. Black (tools), over white (debitage). The undifferentiated Mesolithic assemblage of Tiln is shown with a pale circle with saltire (tools/debitage). © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.....	99
Figure 7.9: Distribution of Early Mesolithic domestic tools (white) and tool making related debitage (dark).© Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.....	100
Figure 7.10: Distribution of hunting tools (dark) overlying domestic tools (white). © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.....	103
Figure 7.11: The southwest end of the putative gorge at Elmton, North Derbyshire. Source: author.....	104
Figure 7.12: The view North West across the Humberhead Levels towards Misterton Carr (middle distance) from Beacon Hill, Gringley-on-the Hill, and North Nottinghamshire. Source: P. Buckland.....	104
Figure 7.13: Distribution of Mesolithic domestic related tools (white), tool making related artefacts (black) and both (grey). © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.....	106
Figure 7.14: Distribution of Mesolithic hunting related tools. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/ EDINA supplied service.....	107
Figure 7.15: Findspots of Late Mesolithic hunting related tools (dark) overlying domestic related tools (light) and both. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.....	108
Figure 7.16: Late Mesolithic tool making related artefacts. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/ EDINA supplied service.....	109
Figure 7.17: Distribution of 'prehistoric' domestic related flint artefacts. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.....	110
Figure 7.18: Locales with higher densities of chert tool findspots (top) and debitage (below). © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.....	111
Figure 7.19: Locales with higher densities of flint tools (top) and debitage (below). Orange findspots include both. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.....	112
Figure 7.20: The Idle basin from Elmton, North Derbyshire, facing north-east. Source: author.....	113
Figure 7.21: The Humberhead Levels from Bilham, South Yorkshire, facing East. Source: author.....	114
Figure 8.1: The Wroot axe. Courtesy of North Lincolnshire Museum Service. Source: author.....	120
Figure 8.2: Cup and ring marked stone at Ecclesall wood, Sheffield. Source: author.....	122
Figure 8.3: Cup and ring marked stone at Ecclesall wood, Sheffield. Source: J. McNeil ©South Yorkshire Archaeology Service.....	122
Figure 8.4: Cup marked stone at North America Farm, to the immediate south of Langsett reservoir, South Yorkshire. Source: author.....	123
Figure 8.5: The 70m long south facing flank of Beacon Hill long barrow, Gringley-on-the-Hill, North Nottinghamshire. Source: author.....	124
Figure 8.6: The possible long mound at Ault Hucknall, North Derbyshire. Source: ©Google Earth.....	124
Figure 8.7: Part of the north-east side of Wombwell Henge, South Yorkshire, showing the bank and ditch. Source: author	126
Figure 8.8: Hengiform features across the study area. Left to right, top to bottom: Wadworth, South Yorkshire (source: author), Worksop, North Nottinghamshire (source: author, drawn from a geo-referenced aerial photograph), Perlethorpe, North Nottinghamshire (source: author, drawn from a geo-referenced aerial photograph), Stone, South Yorkshire (Source: Historic England) and Wombwell, South Yorkshire (source: L. Butcher, unpublished archive, MuseumsSheffield).	127
Figure 8.9: Community volunteers and students from the universities of Hull and Cardiff excavate and record trench 1, Cadeby, South Yorkshire, facing east south east. Source: author.....	128
Figure 8.10: The trenches at Cadeby 'henge' facing west, with the break of slope on the edge of the feature indicated by the arrows. The west end of the Don gorge is to the left. Source: author.....	129

Figure 8.11: The west entrance to the Don gorge at the confluence of the Don and the Dearne. Figures indicate height in metres OD. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.....	130
Figure 8.12: Parchmark at Cadeby Cliff showing the form of the natural depression formerly suspected of being a henge monument, with fieldwalked assemblage. Figures indicate height in metres OD. © Google Earth	130
Figure 8.13: Whitwell Moor, South Yorkshire. The present bog is denoted by the area bounded by the sub-oval black line. Figures indicate height in metres OD. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.....	131
Figure 8.14: The bilberry covered bank of the hengiform monument at Whitwell Moor, South Yorkshire, facing east. Source: author.....	131
Figure 8.15: Findspots and concentrations of chipped stone during the Late Mesolithic. The roundels indicate concentrations, with darker shades representing larger quantities. Subsequent figures containing point density roundels follow the same convention. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.....	133
Figure 8.16: Findspots and concentrations of chipped stone during the Late Mesolithic-Early Neolithic transition. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service	134
Figure 8.17: Findspots and concentrations of Early Neolithic chipped stone in relation to long barrows and Magnesian Limestone geology. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.....	135
Figure 8.18: Concentrations of Early Neolithic chipped stone (dark roundels) overlying concentrations of undifferentiated Neolithic chipped stone (lighter roundels), and undifferentiated prehistoric chipped stone (white roundels). © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service	136
Figure 8.19: A tatami room, incorporating living space, meeting space and the spirits of the ancestors. Source: author.....	137
Figure 8.20: Distribution of findspots of Mesolithic/Late Mesolithic hunting related tools (dark) overlain by Early Neolithic leaf arrowheads (white). Higher density of leaf arrowheads are shown with the roundels. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.....	139
Figure 8.21: Concentrations of domestic related tools (yellow), overlying Early Neolithicdebitage (red) and leaf shaped arrowheads (blue), with long barrows. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.....	140
Figure 8.22: Distribution of major Middle to Late Neolithic groups, with areas displaying higher density of Group VI axes shown as shaded roundels. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.....	142
Figure 8.23: Distribution of major Late Neolithic groups, with areas displaying higher density of flint axes shown as shaded roundels, with darker shades for higher densities. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.....	143
Figure 8.24: Proximity of polished stone axes to the waterways of the study area (left column).....	144
Figure 8.25: An oblique arrowhead from near the headwaters of Ewden Beck, South Yorkshire. Courtesy of Museums Sheffield. Source: author	145
Figure 8.26: Incidence of Late Neolithic arrowheads across the study area, derived from point density analysis. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service	145
Figure 8.27: Ughill (centre) at the west end of the Loxley valley, South Yorkshire, viewed from the Don valley between Sheffield and Rotherham. Source: author.....	146
Figure 8.28: Distribution of Neolithic pottery, with petroglyphs and maceheads.'Neolithic' (dark) is pottery without better dating. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.....	147
Figure 8.29: Pit from which Late Neolithic or Early Bronze Age pottery was excavated at Pastures Road, Mexborough, South Yorkshire. Courtesy of Archaeological Services WYAS. Source: author.....	148
Figure 8.30: One of the sherds recovered at Pastures Road. Courtesy of Archaeological Services WYAS. Source: author	148
Figure 8.31: Pastures Road (indicated by the red arrow), Mexborough, South Yorkshire, from Cadeby Cliff overlooking the Don Gorge, facing west. Source: author	149
Figure 8.32: The wider topographical setting for the pottery assemblages at Auckley and Armthorpe, South Yorkshire. Figures represent metres above sea level OD. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.....	151
Figure 8.33: Recumbent stone in two pieces at Whitwell moor, South Yorkshire, embellished with cup marks on both fragments. Note the fossilised leaf to the right, spanning the entire width of both fragments. Source: author	152
Figure 8.34: Distribution of putative henge monuments across the study area, in relation to maceheads, polished stone axes, and petroglyphs. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service	153
Figure 8.35: Hengiform features in relation to floodplanes and probable former wetlands. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.....	154

Figure 9.1: Barbed and Tanged arrowhead from St Helen's Spring, Barnburgh, South Yorkshire. Source: author. Private collection.....	158
Figure 9.2: Collared urn recovered during groundworks in Doncaster, South Yorkshire, in 1865. Source: author. Courtesy of Doncaster Art Gallery and Museum.....	158
Figure 9.3: Axe hammer from the vicinity of Doncaster (side elevation left, plan view right). Source: author. Courtesy of Doncaster Museum and Art Gallery.....	159
Figure 9.4: Battle axe from the vicinity of Doncaster (side elevation). Source: author. Courtesy of Doncaster Museum and Art Gallery.....	160
Figure 9.5: An Early Bronze Age flat axe from Mansfield Woodhouse, north Nottinghamshire, with slightly raised side edges indicative of the transition from the earliest flat axes to the flanged variety. Source author: courtesy of Mansfield Museum.	160
Figure 9.6: A double looped and socketed spearhead of the Taunton phase of the Middle Bronze Age (c. 1400-1200 BC) from Bawtry, South Yorkshire. Source author. Courtesy of Doncaster Art Gallery and Museum.	161
Figure 9.7: Part of the cairnfield at Ewden Beck, South Yorkshire, facing south east. In the low sun many of the cairns are visible as small mounds that straddle either side of the valley of a spring that feeds the nearby beck. They also spread to either side of Broomhead Dike, a linear ditch of probable later date that lies to the south side of the dry stone wall visible in the middle distance. A typical example of a 'minolith' (small standing stone) is also visible directly overlooking the spring in the middle distance. Source: author.....	164
Figure 9.8: Probable robbed out burial cairn from Hallam Moors. Source: Author.....	165
Figure 9.9: Packing stones visible beneath a standing stone overlooking the upper reaches of Ewden Beck, South Yorkshire, beside its confluence with Oaken Clough. Trowel for scale. Source: author.	165
Figure 9.10: A standing stone at Brown Edge, Totley Moor, Derbyshire, facing south-east towards the Sheaf valley. Source: author.....	166
Figure 9.11: Small standing stones, barely visible in the undergrowth, at Hallam Moors, South Yorkshire. Source: author.	167
Figure 9.12: The isolated standing stone at Wadsley Common, Sheffield. Source: author.....	167
Figure 9.13: A 'large' standing stone at Hallam Moors, South Yorkshire. Source: author.	168
Figure 9.14: Cup marked stone from Totley moor, North Derbyshire. This, and other examples I have recorded bear a close resemblance to published examples from West Yorkshire (see chapter eight). Source: author.....	168
Figure 9.15: Early Bronze Age chipped stone and chipped stone concentrations (green) overlying concentrations of prehistoric chipped stone (grey). This and all subsequent density Analyses were undertaken using ArcGiS point density tool. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.	169
Figure 9.16: Distribution of barbed and tanged arrowheads (white) and concentrations (roundels), Early Bronze Age pottery and round barrows. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.	170
Figure 9.17: A bell barrow in Graves Park, Sheffield, facing north-west towards Sheaf Valley. The south-east facing slope of the valley is just visible in the distance between the trees, in the bottom right hand quarter of the photo. Source: author.....	171
Figure 9.18. Pairs of ring ditches, overlooking the source of a stream tributary to the River Ryton in North Nottinghamshire. Figures represent height in metres OD. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.....	172
Figure 9.19. Distribution of Bronze Age and undifferentiated prehistoric flint scatters. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.	173
Figure 9.20: Distribution of Early Bronze Age and undifferentiated Bronze Age elaborate artefacts. © Crown Copyright/ database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.	174
Figure 9.21: Distribution of elaborate artefacts and chipped stone concentrations in the Early Bronze Age. Areas at or below the 50m mark OD (along the river valleys) are dark shaded, with areas at or below the 10m mark OD (Humberhead Levels) lighter. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.	175
Figure 9.22: The fens and carr woodland of Potteric Carr, South Yorkshire. This reconstituted contemporary wetland is indicative of the likely character of much of the Humberhead Levels by the Bronze Age, before most of the carrs and fens had been replaced by the raised mires for which the levels are more widely known. Source: author.....	176
Figure 9.23: The spatial relationships of hengiform monuments to round barrows, and topographic relationships to the interface between land above and below 50m OD (pale shading along the river valleys and Humberhead Levels). © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.....	177
Figure 9.24: Distribution of undifferentiated Bronze Age chipped stone, Middle Bronze Age chipped stone, and Middle Bronze Age pottery. Shaded roundels denote concentrations of chipped stone, with the darker roundels indicating higher density. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.....	179

Figure 9.25: Distribution of Middle Bronze Age and undifferentiated Bronze Age copper alloy artefacts. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.	180
Figure 9.26: Middle Bronze Age copper alloy artefacts in the vicinity of the Humberhead levels in relation to crop mark field systems (dense irregular sub-rectangular features). © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.	181
Figure 9.27: A probable barrow cemetary overlooking the upper reaches of the River Rivelin at Hallam Moors, South Yorkshire. The features are visible in the late afternoon sun on north facing slopes denuded of vegetation by burning. Scale: 0.5 Metres. Source: author.....	183
Figure 9.28: Clusters of Bronze Age monuments across the uplands of South Yorkshire and North Derbyshire, at or very close to the watershed of the Don drainage basin by the headwaters of rivers. Round barrows have been included in the distribution, indicative of activity dating from the Early Bronze Age onwards (small pale roundels). © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.	184
Figure 9.29: The remains of an embanked stone circle at Hallam Moors, South Yorkshire. Stones A, B and E are set in cairns 1, 2 and 8. Cairns 3, 4, 5, 6 form the remaining fragments of the former bank, while stones C, D, F, G, H and I complete the sub-circular arrangement. Source: Cockrell 2007.....	185
Figure 9.30: Monuments in the vicinity of Totley Moor, North Derbyshire. Figures represent height in metres OD. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.	187
Figure 9.31: The monuments of Whitwell Moor and Ewden Beck (top) and Hallam Moors (bottom). Figures indicate height in metres OD. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.	188
Figure 9.32: The relationships between hengiform features and low lying wetlands and rivers across the study area, how they relate to concentrations of Late Bronze Age metalwork, and cropmark field systems. The Axe concentration at Haxey is shown in a shaded roundel. Cropmark features are shown as dense areas of small sub-rectangular features. © Crown Copyright/database right 2014, an Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.	190
Figure 10.1: Red deer grazing in the Upper Dearne Valley, South Yorkshire. Source: author.....	196
Figure 10.2: Salt marshes and creeks on the coastal flats of North Norfolk. This conveys what must have been the character of much of the landscape of the north European plain as it was becoming inundated during the Mesolithic period. Source: author.....	197
Figure 10.3: Totley Moor (background), overlooking the upper reaches of the Sheaf, facing north-west from Graves Park, Sheffield. One amongst many high points in the landscape, its distinctiveness lies in its setting at the head not only of Sheaf valley, but Millthorpe valley, and its immediate proximity to the upper Derwent valley in the Trent drainage basin to the west. Knowledgeable inhabitants would have understood such intimate detail. More distant travellers would have drawn close to the location while passing through the valleys leading to and from it. Source: author.	198
Figure 10.4: sheep grazing on the edge of marshland at Potteric Carr, South Yorkshire, with the east facing slopes of the Magnesian Limestone in the vicinity of Wadworth in the background. Source: author.	199
Figure 10.5: The west facing escarpment of the Magnesian Limestone, overlooking the lower reaches of the river Dearne close to its confluence with the Don (right, off picture) at the entrance to the Don Gorge. The photo was taken from the east facing slope of Mexborough, facing Barnburgh Edge (background, centre) and the site of Melton Warren long barrow (background, right). The location of the former long cairn at Hangman Stones Lane is off picture at the south end of Barnburgh Edge. Source: author.	200
Figure 10.6: The Gritstone Uplands of North Derbyshire bathed in sunshine (background), facing east from the henge monument at Arbor Low, across the Carboniferous Limestone plateau. Source: author.....	202
Figure 10.7: part of the wooden trackway on Hatfield Moor, South Yorkshire. Trowel for scale. Source: P. Buckland.	202

List of Tables

Table 4.1: Tabulated summary of the relationship between the database and formation processes. Stray finds have not been included in the 'archaeological events' row, since they occur across the entire study area.....	63
Table 7.1: chipped stone data.....	92
Table 7.2: chipped stone scatters of unknown composition.....	92
Table 7.3: Distribution of findspots and locations relating to domestic activity (ø), tool making activity (*) and hunting related activity (Λ).	101-102
Table 8.1: Chipped stone artefacts.	118
Table 8.2: Scatters of chipped stone of unknown quantity and character.....	118
Table 8.3: The total chipped stone assemblage distinguished between flint and chert.....	118
Table 8.4: Chronological distribution of pottery across the study area. Figures in brackets represent approximate number of vessels where known. 'Scatters' refers to surface collected sherd assemblages of unknown quantity and character.....	119
Table 8.5: The distribution of whole and fragments of polished stone axes by known petrology. The petrological determinations are those derived from Clough and Cummins (1988). The table also includes probable sources for those artefacts that have not been subjected to petrographic analysis, but have been macroscopically examined either by the author or others.	119
Table 8.6: Long barrows in the study area.	125
Table 8.7: Putative henge monuments in the study area.	126
Table 9.1: Chipped stone artefacts.	157
Table 9.2: Chronological distribution of pottery across the study area. Figures in brackets represent approximate number of vessels where known. 'Scatters' refers to surface collected sherd assemblages of unknown quantity and character.....	158
Table 9.3: Axe hammers by petrological group.....	159
Table 9.4: Distribution of metal artefacts by chronological period.....	160
Table 9.5: Known round barrows and ring ditches in the study area.....	163
Table 9.6: Other structures/features across the study area.....	164

Acknowledgements

So many friends, colleagues and people who, frankly, I hardly know have offered me help, encouragement and advice over the years that I hardly know where to begin when thanking them. Nevertheless, For their encouragement over the years I would like to thank first, along with Dr. Phil Sidebottom and Helen Ullathorne, Eileen Parker, Lesley Chapman, Jane Ford and Tom Barnard. Eileen has been a particularly stalwart friend and ally, accompanying me on many other projects over the years, much of which has been fieldwork relating to this book.

To my old teacher on the masters programme, Dr. Sue Sherratt, I owe a debt of thanks for the encouragement to take what was initially a wintertime activity for Bolsterstone Archaeology and Heritage Group, mapping flintwork in North Sheffield District, and develop it into doctoral research.

The members of Bolsterstone Archaeology and Heritage Group have been interested in and supportive of my research from the beginning. Deserving of special thanks are Andrew Drabble, Dr. Ruth Morgan, Jayne Wright, Dr. Giovanna Fregni, Wendy Crossland and Sally Chapman, all of whom have provided information and stimulating discussion, as well as their labour and company in the field at various times, and especially during the Whitwell Moor survey. Dr. Bryan Howard and Andrew Tissington have been particularly helpful, accompanying me on my quest for lost civilisations on many occasions.

The historic environment records of Nottinghamshire, Derbyshire and especially South Yorkshire were of considerable value in supplying the data which formed the foundation of the database. They are warmly thanked for the invaluable contribution they made. Andy Lines of South Yorkshire Archaeology Service made a particular effort to have ready for me piles of potentially relevant unpublished reports to look through and Jim McNeil was kind enough to supply me with a photograph of a petroglyph from Ecclesall Wood, Sheffield.

Data that was gathered directly from museum databases and, more importantly, from archived projects housed in museum collections from around the study area formed the bulk of the project database. The value of these precious and unique repositories of (largely unpublished and in some cases previously barely known) sources of information cannot be overestimated. Without access to that data this project would have been impossible to complete. Moreover, the many thousands of years of social history that they form the source for would have remained untold. Many of the archives are housed in small local museums of the kind that are most under threat from closure at the present date by local and (effectively) national government. The staff in all of the museums below did everything they could to facilitate access, information and advice. Invariably they did so in a manner that was cheerful, positive and supportive of myself and my research. The following individuals were of particular help and are very warmly thanked: Peter Robinson (Doncaster Museum and Art Galley), Rose Nicholson (North Lincolnshire Museum, Scunthorpe), Samantha Glasswell (Bassetlaw Museum, Retford), Karl Noble (Clifton Park Museum, Rotherham), Maria Smith (formerly of Creswell Crags Visitor Centre), Liz Weston (Mansfield Museum), Helen Harman (formerly of Museums Sheffield) and Lucy Creighton (formerly of Museums Sheffield). Peter Robinson was especially helpful and informative in the early years, and Samantha Glasswell's good humour and kindness, and that of her colleagues peerless. Rose Nicholson's positive support in a moment of minor crisis was especially valuable. Pauline Beswick is thanked for alerting me to the presence at Museums Sheffield of the unpublished archive from Unstone in Derbyshire. Staff at Experience Barnsley are thanked for facilitating access to their collection, which was but newly established at the time.

Throughout the course of the writing of the book a number of people were kind enough to read and comment upon early draft chapters, or proof read chapters for me. Professor Paul Buckland is warmly thanked for reading and commenting on chapter five, providing me with information, and access to drafts of unpublished work. Paul also very kindly supplied me with photographs of palaeochannels of the Idle and Don, as well as the trackway at Hatfield Moor. Paul's voluminous knowledge, good advice and acerbic wit in conversation are much appreciated. Dr. Chris Cumberpatch kindly read and commented upon chapter eight and has always been a source of detailed local knowledge and provided much good advice. Chris has often joined me in the field and his help and company was particularly valued during the Whitwell Moor survey. Dr Nicolas Tranter, Dr Ruth Morgan, Bill Grapes and Emile Crossley all proof read parts of the book for me and their help is much appreciated. Both Bill and Emile have also accompanied me on my adventures at times and their help and insights from a non-archaeological perspective have been good, thought provoking, and valuable for it. Bill was particularly helpful at the time of my father's death.

Another old friend, Dr. Sarah Hargreaves, was a source of helpful general advice and encouragement throughout. Johanna Greaves was also supportive at the time of my father's death, which is not forgotten.

Other members of the archaeological community who have been helpful over the years include Phil Weston, who permitted me to visit the developer funded excavations at Pastures Road in Mexborough. Also, Dr. Clive Hart who kindly supplied information regarding an Early Bronze Age flat axe that he recorded at Holymoorside. Professor John Collis was good enough to loan me a book that I needed and offer useful insights and advice in conversation. Dr. Kevin Cootes allowed me to see an early version of his doctoral thesis and generously supplied me with geological publications. His insights in discussion, and his unique sense of humour have been of immense value. The company and technical advice of my old friend Dr. Harry Paraskeva was of considerable value at the beginning, particularly regarding the use of ArcGIS. Dr. Helen Fenwick was also helpful with that, and has been a source of much additional encouragement and advice at various times over the years. Local amateur Ian Stead was forthcoming with information about data that he recorded at various locations around South Yorkshire and especially at St Helen's chapel near Barnburgh. The late Stuart Wheeldon provided information regarding previously unrecorded material near Doncaster. His kindness, and his enthusiasm for archaeology and the environment is much missed. Jen Eccles supplied useful advice concerning Scratta Wood, North Nottinghamshire, and delicious cake!

Many members of the farming community and other landowners have also been of inestimable value. These include especially Ian and Barbara Wright of Bank Farm, Bolsterstone, South Yorkshire and Pam Finch of Elmton, Derbyshire. Stephen Lomas, who has done much to record the prehistoric archaeology of his land at Sykehouse, was good enough to show me the area and give access to his private collection. Frank Calladine permitted access to Melton Warren and provided good company when we visited it. Ben Rimmington-Wilson kindly allowed surveying work to take place on Broomhead Moor. Jeremy Archdale is thanked for permitting the survey of the embanked stone circle at Hallam Moors. Geoffrey Hall of Stone Farm is thanked for permitting access to his land and for regaling me with fascinating and informative tales of pre-mechanised farming practices. Dave Haywood of Hooton Levitt is warmly thanked for his cooperation and important insights into the soils and geology of the area.

During the time I worked on the book I also spent several years working with the Workers Educational Association. Nicola Thorpe, Dr. Victoria Beauchamp and Dr. Rob Hindle were not only excellent colleagues there but were personally interested in and supportive of my research. That was to our mutual advantage when working on the 'Digability' project, which gave me the opportunity to make my research work for a wider community, an aim which is dear to my heart. That wider community of course included my students, who were from all backgrounds and circumstances. They also are thanked, for their attentiveness and enthusiasm regarding their own past and the efforts they made to engage with it, which I am gratified to say they showed deep appreciation for.

My supervisors, Dr Robert Johnston and Colin Merrony have of course been with me every step of the way. When I first proposed the idea to Bob he accepted it without hesitation and since then has never wavered in his confidence in me or belief that the prehistoric archaeology of the Don drainage basin was worthy of investigation at the highest level. Bob's approach to research, combining hard data with innovative ways of thinking about the people responsible for it, has provided a model I have tried to emulate since first meeting him. That was during my days as a masters student, when British and local prehistory was a source for ideas and insights rather than an intended direction of research. Bob's insights, and his disciplined and systematic supervision of every stage of the project has ensured its unfolding without a glitch. Colin has an unrivalled knowledge of the archaeology and landscapes of South Yorkshire as well as boundless enthusiasm. Long conversations about every aspect of the archaeology of the region has been an ongoing feature of a friendship that has been highly stimulating for me and personally very supportive. In particular, occasional dark days have been lightened by his sense of perspective and sardonic humour!

I would also like to thank my family for their support. My dear parents did not unfortunately live to see where my boyhood dreams would lead. My father at least was spared long enough to know that a PhD thesis would result, although not that full publication would follow. My mother always believed in me and was supportive when I, as a working class lad, declared dissatisfaction with the world of engineering and a desire to change direction and pursue a very middle class dream. Such were the possibilities of what was an egalitarian society. Yuki, my wife, has tolerated my obsession with stoicism. Her forbearance at times when I have failed to empty the compost bin, or clean the car when important visitations have been imminent is greatly appreciated. My kids, Rosie and Amelia, have also been very patient. There have been many times when they have had nice days out which have ended up in interesting swamps or on windswept hillsides when they might arguably have preferred more urbane delights. Finally, while the advice and help of all the aforementioned is deeply appreciated, it must be pointed out that final decisions about what to include or say in this book remain with myself, including all errors.

Chapter 1

Introduction

A personal preamble

My engagement with and thinking about the prehistory of South Yorkshire and the North Midlands predates the life of this book by many years. Before that, all of my archaeological efforts and thought had been directed towards the Eastern Mediterranean. Revelation, and a gradual change in direction, began just before beginning my masters training at the University of Sheffield as a mature student. There had been a long hiatus between that and my first degree, and although I had always been involved in archaeological related activities, I believed that I must do something to get back into the swing of academic life, as well as acquire new fieldwork experience. To those ends I enrolled with what was then The Institute for Lifelong Learning (TILL) at the University of Sheffield on an advanced field survey module. The module was not merely training, but involved the recording of newly identified features on Hallam Moors near Sheffield, with the focus on practice trenches of the First World War. There I met Helen Ullathorne and Dr. Phil Sidebottom, who were teaching the module and leading the fieldwork. I have never forgotten their kindness to me and enthusiasm for the work, which was infectious. Until then I would never have believed that I could be eager to stand all day in the driving rain of a freezing and windswept Pennine moor!

In the end I never got to survey the trenches - because volunteers were requested to record late prehistoric features nearby. That was the revelation - prehistoric archaeology on my own doorstep. I had never heard of such a thing near my own home and was surprised by how well preserved, albeit rather small, the features were. I was struck in particular by how those archaeological features seemed to be deliberately sited to take advantage of local natural features, such as the monolith-like outcrop of stone atop Headstone Bank, and the spring rising between that and the location of an embanked stone circle on the hillside to the south-west. In turn, the entire prehistoric complex, consisting of cairnfields, standing stones, barrows and small field systems happened to overlook the head of the Rivelin Valley, with fine views eastwards almost to the confluence with the Rivelin and the Loxley. Could this be a coincidence? I don't believe in such coincidences. Then I learned from my knowledgeable fellow students that there were more prehistoric sites, including barrows that were to be found in the vicinity of the Don, many miles away, into which the Rivelin debouched. Naturally I wanted to read the book, so to speak, and find out how the prehistoric landscape was organised and what the story was for the region

in which I had lived for 20 years but knew so little about. I wanted in particular to visit some of these other places that apparently were in South Yorkshire, a place hitherto that I would not have associated with prehistoric archaeology. It turned out that there was not a guide I could turn to, not even a reliable summary of the region's prehistory. That was the beginning of the long story that has resulted in this book.

Aims and Objectives

The broad aim of this book is to fill a major lacuna in the sequence of regional archaeologies of British prehistory. Regional prehistories are known from elsewhere including Cumbria (Evans 2005), Lancashire (Barrowclough 2008), Northumberland (Waddington 1999), The Humberhead levels (Van de Noort 2004), the Midlands (Mullen 2003), and Derbyshire (Barnatt and Smith 2004; Bevan 2003; Edmonds and Seaborne 2001; Kitchen 2000). The aforementioned are merely a representative selection of a widespread aspect of archaeological research.

However, the prehistory of the river Don drainage basin (including the modern regions of South Yorkshire, North Nottinghamshire, as well as significant portions of Derbyshire and North Lincolnshire), has been largely overlooked, and no work of synthesis for this region exists to date before the Iron Age.

The character of the landscape and environment, and how that changed over time, were important considerations integral to the approach taken in the interpretation, and helped to frame the chronological period to be investigated. The period covered begins with the Early Mesolithic and ends with the close of the Bronze Age. I felt that this broad period would both be manageable in terms of data collection at an appropriate resolution of detail, and logical. This is partly due to the very different nature of the landscape prior to the Mesolithic, and the very different character of the archaeological dataset beyond the Bronze Age.

Regional archaeologies addressing issues of social identity have been undertaken in the neighbouring region of the Peak District (Edmonds and Seaborne 2001; Kitchen 2000 for example) and an opportunity presented itself here to compliment that work. The main priority, however, was to put understanding of the study area on a systematic basis by drawing together information hitherto unknown in the wider archaeological community. The limited detail available with some data, and need to record very diverse categories over

a wide area necessitated the preparation of a database with relatively low resolution of detail. Nonetheless, what follows demonstrates the great potential that the archaeological data has, and indicates what might be achieved if more detailed work on aspects of the corpus of information could be undertaken.

Overview

A crucial aspect of the interpretative approach I have taken is the integration of archaeological data, of very diverse nature and sources, with the changing environment as it was lived in by successive generations of inhabitants. The environment and the archaeological data need to be considered together, and they need to be managed separately for the sake of facilitating understanding of what are complex issues concerning formation processes and detailed and specialised arenas of study. Consequently the book is divided into two halves. Early chapters lay out the intellectual framework underpinning the interpretative approach, summarise the nature and content of previous work in the study area, and discusses issues concerning the character and formation of the database, and how the environment changed during the study period. Then a chapter describes the methodology used in analysing the data in its interpretative context. The later chapters, adopting a chronological structure, show how the analyses unfold through time. Each chapter opens with a brief summary of the relevant parts of the database, and concludes with a historical narrative. The final chapter brings together what has been learned in the previous three to propose answers to the research question. Below is a resume of the chapters and their content.

1. Introduction

An explanation of the broad aims of the project, and the research question to be answered in that context, and description of the chronological and geographical parameters of the study area. An explanation of the structure of the book and summary of chapters.

2. Regional Archaeologies, Approaches to Archaeological Regions, and the Present Study.

An examination of previous regional studies, definitions of archaeological regions and study area parameters, defining the concept of 'region'. Presentation of the research question within the context of the study area parameters as constituted here.

3. A History of Archaeological Research in the Study Area

Previous scholarship in the study area, including antiquarian and historical works, with special reference to previous archaeological research undertaken.

4. Formation Processes and the Database.

A detailed review of the processes of environmental and human activity, including archaeological activity, that have influenced the character of the database.

5. The Environment of the Study Area.

A comprehensive review of the history of environmental change across the study area until the end of the Bronze Age, utilising published data.

6. Methodology

A description of the methods by which data has been collected, recorded in the database, and analysed.

7. The Mesolithic

A comprehensive discussion of inhabitation detected with material culture, studied in its landscape contexts, in the form of a historical narrative to be developed over the following two chapters.

8. The Neolithic

9. The Bronze Age

10. Confluence of Narratives: The Don Valley in Prehistory.

A discussion of and summary of the previous chapters, with conclusion, and recommendations for further work.