

OVER THE HILLS AND FAR AWAY

LAST GLACIAL MAXIMUM LITHIC TECHNOLOGY AROUND THE GREAT ADRIATIC PLAIN

Emanuele Cancellieri

ARCHAEOPRESS ARCHAEOLOGY

ARCHAEOPRESS PUBLISHING LTD

Gordon House
276 Banbury Road
Oxford OX2 7ED

www.archaeopress.com

ISBN 978 1 78491 234 5

ISBN 978 1 78491 235 2 (e-Pdf)

© Archaeopress and E Cancellieri 2015

Cover: Fosso Mergaoni (Ancona, Italy), early Epigravettian, ca. 21,000 calBP:
refitting of lithic artefacts produced by the reduction of a large flint pebble.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in retrieval system,
or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying or otherwise,
without the prior written permission of the copyright owners.

Printed in England by Oxuniprint, Oxford

This book is available direct from Archaeopress or from our website www.archaeopress.com

Contents

This study.....	1
1. Methodological remarks	2
Framework	2
Explanation of the criteria adopted in this study.....	3
Raw materials.....	3
Analysis of the reduction sequence and diagnostic artefacts.....	3
Acquisition	3
Shaping	4
Lamino-lamellar production	4
Management	4
Transformation.....	5
Deactivation of production.....	7
Flaking technique	7
Morpho-technical description of laminar products	8
Morpho-technical description of cores	8
Typology	9
2. The site of Madonna dell’Ospedale	11
Presentation of the site.....	11
Context	11
The excavations	11
Analysis of the lithic assemblage collected out of stratigraphic context	12
General composition	12
Acquisition.....	12
Shaping	12
Production	12
Transformation.....	19
Deactivation of production.....	20
Processing implements.....	24
Analysis of the lithic assemblage from the test excavation	24
Synthesis of the evidence.....	26
3. The site of Fosso Mergaoni	28
Presentation of the site.....	28
Context	28

The excavations	28
Stratigraphy and chronology	29
Flint sources	30
Introduction	30
Field research and sampling strategy	30
Characterization and classification of flint outcrops	33
Analysis of the lithic assemblage.....	35
General composition	35
Raw materials	35
Reduction sequence, general observations.....	42
Acquisition	43
Shaping	43
Production	45
Management	60
Deactivation of production.....	67
Transformation	71
Use-wear traces.....	77
Refittings.....	78
Processing implements.....	82
Spatial analysis	83
Foreword	83
Site topographic features	84
Raw materials	84
Reduction sequences.....	88
Conjoins and refittings.....	89
Synthesis of the evidence.....	101
4. The site of Grotta di Pozzo	103
Presentation of the site.....	103
Context	103
The excavations	103
Stratigraphy and chronology	103
Analysis of the Lithic assemblage.....	105
General composition	105
Reduction sequence, general observations.....	106
Raw materials	106
Shaping	106
Production	106
Management	108
Transformation.....	108

Deactivation of production.....	112
Synthesis of the evidence.....	112
5. Discussion	114
Introduction	114
Synthesis of available data	115
Central Apennine.....	115
North-eastern Italy	116
North-western Balkans	117
Discussion.....	118
Concluding remarks.....	119
References	120
Acknowledgements	125

List of illustrations

Chp.1

Figure 1.Examples of laminar blanks (top row), position of management flakes (middle row) and pre-cores and cores (bottom row). Numbers refer to sub-classes of table 1	5
Figure 2. Angular classes used to describe transversal convexity (<i>cintrage</i>) of laminar products	7
Figure 3. Angle classes used to describe flaking angles	7
Figure 4. Curvature classes used to describe longitudinal convexity (<i>carénage</i>) of cores and laminar products ..	9
Figure 5. Curvature classes used to describe core transversal convexity (<i>cintrage</i>)	9

Chp. 2

Figure 6. Localization of the site	11
Figure 7. Topographic setting	11
Figure 8. Site general area	11
Figure 9. Soundings P and P1, 1984	12
Figure 10. Stratigraphic sequence (after Silvestrini et al, 2008)	12
Figure 11. Assemblage out of stratigraphic context, whole spectrum of unretouched central laminar products	13
Figure 12. Crested blade	13
Figure 13. Width spectrum of central not cortical regular laminar products	14
Figure 14. Length spectrum of central not cortical regular laminar products	14
Figure 15. Assemblage out of stratigraphic context: initialization and full production unretouched laminar blanks (after Silvestrini et al, 2008).....	15
Figure 16. Assemblage out of stratigraphic context: management products (after Silvestrini et al. 2008)	16
Figure 18. Flaking technique according to width spectrum of central not cortical regular laminar products.....	17
Figure 17. Management flakes showing linear engravings on the cortex	17
Figure 19. Assemblage out of stratigraphic context: retouched blanks (after Silvestrini et al. 2008)	20
Figure 20. Distribution of width and thickness values of unretouched laminar products, backed armatures and shouldered armatures.....	21
Figure 21. Shouldered armatures	21
Figure 22. Assemblage out of stratigraphic context: cores (after Silvestrini et al. 2008)	22
Figure 23. Width of laminar scars measured on cores.....	23
Figure 24. Pièce machurée.....	24
Figure 25. Refitting sequence comprising a truncation	26
Figure 26. Bladelet core and refitted laminar flake	26
Figure 27. Assemblage from P and P1, 1984 soundings (after Silvestrini et al. 2008)	27

Chp. 3

Figure 28. Localization of the site	28
Figure 29. Topographic setting	28
Figure 30. The quarry area of Fosso Mergaoni	28
Figure 31. Sounding E, 1982 excavation	29
Figure 32. The 1985 excavation and surroundings	29
Figure 33. General view of the 1985 excavation.....	29
Figure 34. Example of a cluster in squares P22-P23, layer 4a (see also Figure 37).....	30
Figure 35. Stratigraphy (1985 excavation)	30
Figure 36. Number and nature of the sampled areas.....	30
Figure 37. General map of 1985 excavation, digitized on the basis of the field drawings.....	31
Figure 38. The Jurassic sequence, *selciferous formations (image based on International Stratigraphic Chart, International Commission on Stratigraphy, 2005, modif.).....	32
Figure 39. The Cretaceous-Neogene sequence. *selciferous formations (image based on International Stratigraphic Chart, International Commission on Stratigraphy, 2005, modif.)	32
Figure 40. Distribution of the flint samples flaking attitude according to altimetry.....	32
Figure 41. Localization of Fosso Mergaoni (star), of the sampled areas (circles), and of the Late Epigravettian sites of the district (squares).....	32
Figure 42. Main macroscopic characterization features.....	33
Figure 44. Experimental laminar blanks extracted from the flints sampled in the area.....	33

Figure 43. Micropaleontological content of selected flint samples. A) MAIOLICA: lower Cretaceous, B) SCAGLIA ROSSA-upper member: middle Eocene, C) SCAGLIA VARIEGATA: middle Eocene.	33
Figure 45. Flaking attitude of the sampled outcrops	34
Figure 46. Localization of Fosso Mergaoni and the Late Epigravettian sites of the district. Columns represent the flaking attitude of each sampled secondary deposit, in terms of percentage of the total.....	34
Figure 47. Closest primary outcrops identified in the surroundings of the site. SV: Scaglia Variegata; SR: Scaglia Rossa; M. Maiolica. (Image: Carta Geologica d'Italia, 1:100.000, Jesi ,modif.).....	36
Figure 48. Minimum determinable size of the raw blocks per formation	41
Figure 49. Minimum determinable size of the raw blocks per collecting environment	41
Figure 50. Pre-core on a large plunged blade	43
Figure 51. Refitting cortical flakes, one of which subsequently retouched into a large notch.....	43
Figure 52. Undifferentiated flakes cortex amount.....	44
Figure 53. Undifferentiated flakes cortex amount per ULRM.....	44
Figure 54. Retouched flakes cortex amount	44
Figure 55. Flaking technique recognized on shaping flakes.....	45
Figure 56. Shaping flakes size, layers 4 and 4a grouped, 1985 excavation.....	45
Figure 57. Distribution of regular and irregular central laminar products according to integrity	47
Figure 58. Unretouched laminar blanks per ULRM, layer 4a	47
Figure 59. Retouched laminar blanks per ULRM layers 4a	48
Figure 60. Unretouched laminar products per ULRM, layer 4	49
Figure 61. Retouched laminar products per ULRM, layer 4.....	49
Figure 62. Initialization products: natural dihedrals (left, refitted) and natural convexities (right)	52
Figure 63. Refittings testifying to the shaping out of crested blades	52
Figure 64. Refitted crested blades	53
Figure 65. Refitted semicortical laminar products.....	53
Figure 66. Width spectrum of initialization laminar products, 1985 excavation.....	54
Figure 67. Length spectrum of initialization laminar products, (entire and fragmented specimens), layers 4 and 4a grouped, 1985 excavation.....	54
Figure 68. Initialization products, longitudinal convexity, layers 4 and 4a grouped, 1985 excavation	54
Figure 69. Refitted not cortical laminar products	55
Figure 70. Initialization products, transversal convexity, layers 4 and 4a grouped, 1985 excavation.....	56
Figure 71. Initialization products, technique	56
Figure 72. Width spectrum of central not cortical regular laminar products, 1985 excavation	56
Figure 73. Width spectrum of central regular laminar products, layers 4 and 4a grouped, 1985 excavation.....	56
Figure 74. Length spectrum of central not cortical regular laminar products, layers 4 and 4a grouped,	57
Figure 75. Full production laminar products, technique compared to caliber	57
Figure 76. Full production laminar products flaking technique compared to platforms.....	57
Figure 77. Full production laminar products, central, not cortical, regular, section	58
Figure 78. Full production laminar products, transversal convexity, width.....	58
Figure 79. Full production laminar products, ventral and dorsal profile, width	58
Figure 80. Full production laminar products, ventral and dorsal curvature	59
Figure 81. Width spectrum of lateral laminar products, layers 4 and 4a grouped, 1985 excavation	60
Figure 82. Flaking technique according to width spectrum of lateral laminar products,	60
Figure 83. Refitting testifying to Striking platform management episodes	61
Figure 84. Refitting testifying to striking platform management episodes	61
Figure 85. Refitting testifying to the management of the surface by means of neo-crests extraction (left). conjoining fragments of a large neo-crest (right)	62
Figure 86. Refittings testifying the management of the flanks from the extraction surface (neo-crest preparation)	62
Figure 87. Recarenage intervention (left) and removal of hinging accidents on the extraction surface and/or neo-crests preparation (right).....	63
Figure 88. A management intervention hardly interpretable by an economic point of view.....	63
Figure 89. Management products per ULRM.....	64
Figure 90. Management products, except striking platform management flakes.....	65
Figure 91. Retouched management flakes, layer 4 and 4a grouped, 1985 excavation	65
Figure 92. Management products, technique, layers 4 and 4a grouped, 1985 excavation	66
Figure 93. Width spectrum of neo-crests, layers a and 4a grouped, 1985 excavation.....	66

Figure 94. Blade cores.....	67
Figure 95. Bladelet cores.....	67
Figure 96. Reconfigured blade core	67
Figure 97. Blade cores, comparison between L/w-w/t ratios (bubble size represents volume L*w*t cm3)	68
Figure 98. Blade cores, comparison between transversal convexity and width	68
Figure 99. Blade cores, comparison between volume, striking platforms and transversal convexity (bubble size represents volume L*w*t cm3).....	69
Figure 100. Blade cores, comparison between volume, striking platforms, transversal convexity and environment of collection of the raw blanks (bubble size represents volume L*w*t cm3).....	69
Figure 101. Constellation N°. 65: exploitation of a large rounded pebble, from which naturally convex laminar products have been firstly extracted. The progression of laminar production is testified by semicortical laminar products and several tablettes. Core is absent.....	70
Figure 102. Constellation N°. 160, exploitation of a small flint block, characterized by natural flat surfaces, for the production of bladelets from of a single bipolar extraction surface. Morpho-technical parameters are managed by the progressive extraction of <i>tablettes</i> and lateral products. Full production bladelets are almost totally absent.	70
Figure 103. Comparison between blade and bladelet cores size.....	71
Figure 104. Comparison between blade and bladelet cores L/w-w/t ratio	71
Figure 105. Endscrapers (left) and burin (right)	71
Figure 106. Pointed blades.....	71
Figure 107. Truncated backed bladelets (left) and backed point (right)	71
Figure 108. Incidence of retouched blanks (black) per ULRM	73
Figure 109. Tool blanks	75
Figure 110. Size comparison between unretouched laminar blanks, burins and endscrapers.....	75
Figure 111. Size comparison between unretouched laminar blanks, notched blades, retouched blades.....	75
Figure 112. Dorsal profile curvature of tools on blade (central laminar products)	76
Figure 113. Ventral profile curvature of tools on blade (central laminar products).....	76
Figure 114. Transversal convexity of tools on blade (central laminar products)	76
Figure 115. Recognizable technique among tools on blade (central laminar products)	77
Figure 116. Comparison between armatures size (width and thickness)	77
Figure 117. Number of artefacts per constellation.....	79
Figure 118. Refitting rate, per ULRM	79
Figure 119. Conjoining 'un-homogeneous' artefacts fragments	80
Figure 120. Conjoining 'homogeneous' artefacts fragments.....	81
Figure 121. Refittings per constellation	81
Figure 122. Refittings per ULRM	81
Figure 123. Hammerstone on a reutilized flint core (left) and fragmented limestone hammerstone (right)	82
Figure 124. Retoucher/abrader on a small flat limestone pebble	82
Figure 125. Cluster 1, layer 4a (bar = 1m)	83
Figure 126. Cluster 2, layer 4a (bar = 1m)	83
Figure 127. Cluster 3, layer 4a (bar = 1m)	83
Figure 128. Cluster 4, layer 4a (bar = 1m)	83
Figure 129. Cluster 5, layer 4 (bar = 1m).....	84
Figure 130. Cluster 6, layer 4 (bar = 1m).....	84
Figure 131. Number of ULRM per cluster	85
Figure 132. Distribution (presence/absence) of ULRM within clusters	85
Figure 133. Represented flint formations per cluster.....	85
Figure 134. Environment of collection per cluster.....	85
Figure 135. Spatial distribution, geological formation.....	86
Figure 136. Spatial distribution, environment of collection	87
Figure 137. Represented reduction sequence phases per cluster	88
Figure 138. Spatial distribution, shaping phase	90
Figure 139. Spatial distribution, undifferentiated flakes	91
Figure 140. Spatial distribution, production phase.....	92
Figure 141. Spatial distribution, management phase	93
Figure 142. Spatial distribution, transformation phase	94
Figure 143. Spatial distribution, deactivation of production	95

Figure 144. Spatial distribution, processing implements.....	96
Figure 145. Distance between conjoining artefacts (centimeters), layer 4	97
Figure 146. Distance between conjoining artefacts (centimeters), layer 4a (only measures >100 cm)	97
Figure 147. Distance between refitting artefacts (centimeters), layer 4a (only measures >100 cm)	97
Figure 148. Spatial distribution, refitted artefacts.....	98
Figure 149. Spatial distribution, conjoins.....	99
Figure 150. Spatial distribution, refittings.....	100

Chp. 4

Figure 151. Localization of the site	103
Figure 152. Topographic setting	103
Figure 153. General map of the site, of the excavated areas (cave and talus) and of the largest collapsed blocks (after D'Angelo 2010, modif.) The material recovered in black squares is the object of this work.....	103
Figure 154. Litostratigraphic units of Grotta di Pozzo (after Mussi <i>et al.</i> 2008, modif.).....	104
Figure 155. Stratigraphy of the 'external sounding' in the talus.....	104
Figure 156. Artefacts amount per layer	105
Figure 157. Frequency distribution of the main technological classes per layer	105
Figure 158. Undifferentiated flakes size	106
Figure 159. Crested blades.....	107
Figure 160. Unretouched laminar blanks.....	107
Figure 161. Width distribution, central not cortical laminar blanks	107
Figure 162. Width distribution, lateral laminar products	107
Figure 163. Width distribution, neocrests and surface management laminar products	108
Figure 164. Management laminar products	108
Figure 165. Tools (1-3-endscrapers, 4-burin, 5-bec, 6-truncated blade, 7-retouched blade, 8- pièce esquillée, 9- pièce mâchurée)	110
Figure 166. Tool types frequency distribution	110
Figure 167. Width spectra of unretouched and retouched laminar blanks	110
Figure 168. Armatures (1-fragment of backed armature, 2-truncated bladelet, 3-backed-truncated bladelet, 4-backed point, 5-8-shouldered armatures)	110
Figure 169. Armature types frequency distribution.....	110
Figure 170. Width spectra of armatures and unretouched laminar blanks	110
Figure 171. Dorsal scars direction, comparison between unretouched blanks, tools and armatures.....	111
Figure 172. Section, comparison between unretouched blanks, tools and armatures	111
Figure 173. Ventral profile, comparison between unretouched blanks, tools and armatures.....	111
Figure 174. Transversal convexity, comparison between unretouched blanks, tools and armature	111
Figure 175. Transformation residues: 1-burin spall, 2-microburin	112
Figure 176. Cores	112
Figure 177. Laminar scars width measured on cores.....	112

Chp. 5

Figure 178. Selected Early Epigravettian sites discussed in the text.....	115
Figure 179. Inferable logistical movements responsible for techno-typological similarity and diffusion of lithic raw materials. Dashed line represents the supposed LGM coastline (after Lambeck <i>et al.</i> 2004).....	119

(Pictures 8, 9, 30-35: Courtesy of Superintendence for Archaeological Heritage of the Marche region)

List of tables

Chp. 1

Table 1. Technological classification	6
Table 2. Typological classification	10

Chp. 2

Table 3. Assemblage out of stratigraphic context: Technological classes and related reduction phases	18
Table 4. Assemblage out of stratigraphic context: typological classification and incidence of tools and armatures types.....	19
Table 5. Laminar products width classes	19
Table 6. Core laminar scars classes	23
Table 7. Technological classes and related reduction phases (1984 excavation)	25

Chp. 3

Table 8. Quantitative composition (>25mm only)	35
Table 9. Quantitative composition of the assemblage recovered during 1982 excavation (>25 mm only)	35
Table 10. Quantitative composition of the assemblage recovered during 1985 excavation (>25 mm only)	35
Table 11. N. of ULRM per layer	36
Table 12. Number of findings per ULRM, layer and year of excavation	37
Table 13. Munsell color of the findings on Maiolica flint.....	38
Table 14. Munsell color of the findings on Scaglia Rossa flint	38
Table 15. Munsell color of the findings on Scaglia Variegata flint	39
Table 16. Number of ULRM per formation	40
Table 17. Number of finds per formation	40
Table 18. Number of finds / number of ULRM ratio	40
Table 19. Number of ULRM per collecting environment	40
Table 20. Number of finds per collecting environment	40
Table 21. Number of ULRM per formation and collecting environment	41
Table 22. Determinable ULRM morphology	41
Table 23. Technological classes and related reduction phases.....	42
Table 24. Reduction sequence main phases	42
Table 25. Precores blanks	43
Table 26. Unretouched laminar classes, synthetic classification and quantitative composition.....	46
Table 27. Unretouched laminar products, incidence of the different classes per layer	46
Table 28. Unretouched laminar products, integrity rate	46
Table 29. Synthetic presence/absence pattern of central, initialization and lateral products	48
Table 30. Synthetic distribution of unretouched and retouched blanks among ULRM.....	50
Table 31. Presence/absence pattern of central, initialization and lateral products.....	51
Table 32. Full production laminar products, technique.....	59
Table 33. Full production laminar products, transversal convexity	59
Table 34. Full production laminar products, ventral profile	59
Table 35. χ^2 test, ventral and dorsal curvatures.....	59
Table 36. Lateral laminar products, technique	59
Table 37. Management products, incidence of the different classes per layer	64
Table 38. Number of cores per layer.....	67
Table 39. Cores, environment of collection of the raw blanks	67
Table 40. Blade cores size	67
Table 41. Blade cores, regularity of the laminar scars	68
Table 42. Bladelet cores size	69
Table 43. Bladelet cores, width of the laminar scars	69
Table 44. Bladelet cores, regularity of laminar scars	69
Table 46. Number of retouched blanks per ULRM	72
Table 45. Typological classification of the retouched blanks and their stratigraphic distribution	72
Table 47. Tool blanks	74
Table 48. Integrity rate and size of the armatures.....	74

Table 49. Synthesis of the results of the functional analyses (after Ziggiotti, 2007)	78
Table 50. Number of artefacts per constellation	78
Table 51. Conjoining 'not homogeneous' artefacts fragments.....	80
Table 52. Conjoining 'homogeneous' artefacts fragments and ratio artefacts/conjoins	80

Chp. 4

Table 53. Radiocarbon chronology (after Mussi <i>et al.</i> 2008)	104
Table 54. Artefacts amount per layer	105
Table 55. Artefacts amount per square	105
Table 56. Frequency distribution of the main technological classes	106
Table 57. Flint environment of collection	106
Table 58. Cortex amount among undifferentiated flakes	106
Table 59. Technological classification of the unretouched laminar blanks	107
Table 60. Flaking technique, full production laminar blanks	108
Table 61. Dorsal scars direction, full production laminar blanks	108
Table 62. Section, full production laminar blanks.....	108
Table 63. Ventral profile, full production laminar blanks	108
Table 64. Transversal convexity, full production laminar blanks	108
Table 65. Dorsal scars, tools.....	109
Table 66. Ventral profile, tools.....	109
Table 67. Section, tools	109
Table 68. Transversal convexity, tools	109
Table 69. Typological classification of the retouched blanks.....	109
Table 70. Dorsal scars, armatures	111
Table 71. Ventral profile, armatures.....	111
Table 72. Section, armatures	111
Table 73. Transversal convexity, armatures.....	111
Table 74. Diagnostic transformation residues	112

This study

*'...e dirò di pietre consumate, di città finite, morte sensazioni,
racconterò le mie visioni spente di fantasmi e gente lungo le stagioni
e canterò soltanto il tempo...'*

Francesco Guccini, 'Il tema'

This book is the direct outcome of my PhD research which addressed the subject of the human occupation of the northern Adriatic fringe around the end of the Last Glacial Maximum, ca. 24,000-20,000 calBP (Cancellieri 2011). The main contribution of this work is a technological analysis of the lithic assemblages from three early Epigravettian sites located in the central Italian Apennines, adding new elements to the set of data already established, and is useful in reconstructing settlement systems around the now submerged Great Adriatic Plain. More precisely, using information gained from the study of lithic assemblages, the objective here is to refine the picture of an important series of convergences and similarities linking the east and west sides of the current northern Adriatic gulf.

The main goal is to account for sites function, and for their role within a settlement system in the given area. To do this, analysis is focused on the detection of individual characteristics and peculiarities of selected archaeological assemblages and on their potential to indicate a functional orientation of the sites. Analysis of the archaeological record employs a techno-economic approach applied to the whole corpus of material from the given contexts. This maximizes the possibility of obtaining a wide and complete idea of the production, consumption and circulation of the lithic raw materials and the flaking products. The approach combines analysis of the reduction sequences and the assessment of the raw materials and flaking products economy.

The first chapter outlines the theoretical and methodological framework in which this study was carried out. In the introduction to the chapter, a very brief excursus of the main themes and directions of study in the archaeology of prehistoric hunter-gatherers is provided, with particular attention to the informative potential of lithic industries. The rest of the chapter sets out the main descriptive and interpretive standards adopted in the analysis of the archaeological material.

The following three chapters are devoted to the analysis, description and interpretation of the lithic assemblages from the study sites. Each chapter is introduced by a general description of the site, its location, the research carried out, and the chronological and stratigraphic data. The main section of each chapter presents the technological analysis of the lithic artefacts, whose description follows the theoretical phases of the reduction sequence. Morpho-technical and morpho-metric data are also provided. The final section of each chapter aims at organizing data synthetically and organically, as well as to provide an interpretation for the formation of the archaeological assemblages.

The last chapter synthesizes the archaeological evidence from three areas: the central Apennines (Marche and Abruzzi regions), the Berici Hills (Venetian region) and the northwestern Balkans (Slovenia and northern Croatia), and aims at integrating technological data within the broader discussion related to the human occupation of the northern fringe of the Adriatic gulf at the end of the LGM, focusing upon the borders of the once exposed Great Adriatic Plain.

This study contributes a point of view for the debate over whether the Great Adriatic Plain could have represented a favourable place for human groups during a period of environmental stress. Here, an argument is made for it having been presumably extensively occupied because its borders bear evidence for brief human visits for the purpose of resource acquisition, thus implying the existence of more stable settlement areas. Data about human mobility strongly point to an east-west direction, which further supports the idea of an active role of the Great Adriatic Plain.